

Polyflor Australia Fire Certificates for NCC 2022 Specification 7 C3 Compliance

Polyflors' products are manufactured and tested in the UK.

Polyflor has gone to great lengths to have the UK laboratory, Shirley Technologies Limited, Wira House BCTC, UKAS accredited to perform the fire test required by the Australian NCC, AS ISO 9239.1-2003.

In the Schedule 1 Definitions section of the NCC 2022, an Accredited Testing Laboratory means—
(a) an organisation accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA) to undertake the relevant tests; or

(b) an organisation outside Australia accredited to undertake the relevant tests by an authority recognised by NATA through a mutual recognition agreement;

Polyflors' test certificates comply with definition (b). NATA is a signatory of ILAC (International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation), a Mutual Recognition Program where international accreditation programs, like NATA, are recognised as similar acceptable quality standards.

UKAS is also a signatory and results obtained by a UKAS certified lab are recognised by NATA under this ILAC-MRA agreement.

The laboratory Polyflor uses, BCTC, is UKAS certified to perform AS ISO 9239.1-2003. This compliance is available via their website and is also stamped on the report.

Regarding terminology, in the definitions section of the NCC, *Critical radiant flux means the <u>critical heat</u> flux at extinguishment as determined by AS ISO 9239.1.*

The *smoke development rate* as required under Specification 7 Clause 3 is determined from the AS ISO 9239.1 test method and is by measurement of the smoke obscuration over time. This is expressed as Smoke Obscuration % x minutes.

The AS ISO test method has been copied from International Standards and hence the reason why the difference in terminology to the NCC.

The supplied fire certificate is acceptable in Australia as it is the Australian test performed by a NATA recognised certified laboratory for compliance to NCC 2022 S7C3.



Confidential Report

Our Ref: 26/03003A2/05/22







Telephone: +44 (0) 113 259 1999 Email: onestopshop@bttg.co.uk

Website: www.bttg.co.uk

Date: 24 May 2022

Our Ref: 26/03003A2/05/22

Your Ref: ---

Page: 1 of 4

Client: Polyflor Limited

Radcliffe New Road Whitefield Manchester M45 7NR

Job Title: Fire Test on One Sample of Flooring

Clients Order Ref: 2262216

Date of Receipt: 6 May 2022

Description of Sample: One sample of flooring, referenced;

Product Name: Sport 67 Nominal Thickness, mm: 6.7 Weight Per Init Area, kg/m²: 4.26

Batch Number: 2381209 Shade: 7515 Green

Work Requested: We were asked to make the following test(s):

AS ISO 9239-1

* subcontracted test, UKAS accredited

** subcontracted test, EN ISO/IEC 17025 accredited

*** not UKAS accredited

Note: This report relates only to the samples submitted and as described in the report.







Telephone: +44 (0) 113 259 1999 Email: onestopshop@bttg.co.uk

Website: www.bttg.co.uk

Date: 24 May 2022

Our Ref: 26/03003A2/05/22

Your Ref:

Page: 2 of 4

Client: **Polyflor Limited**

FIRE TESTS ACCORDING TO AS ISO 9239-1:2003

Reaction to fire tests for Floorings - Part 1: Determination of the burning behaviour using a radiant heat source (ISO 9239-1:2002)

Date of Test: 20/05/2022

Conditioning

The specimens were conditioned in accordance with BS EN 13238:2010. The substrate used was a fibre cement board (ISO 390) with a thickness of (6±1)mm and a density of (1,800±200) Kg/m³ representing the standard substrate of Class A1fl or A2fl.

Mounting Method

The specimens of floor covering were tested adhered to a 6mm fibre cement backing board, as defined in BS EN 13238:2010 using Uzin KE2000S adhesive.

Procedure

The test was carried out in accordance with AS ISO 9239-1:2003. The sponsor sampled and cut the specimens to the dimensions stated.

Specimens were individually placed in the combustion chamber and allowed to preheat for two minutes under a radiant panel, which gives an imposed radiant flux ranging from approximately 11.0 kW/m² to 1.0 kW/m² along the specimen.

The pilot flame used was the line burner as described and was applied to the surface of the specimen for 10 minutes and then removed.

The flame front was measured at the end of the test or at 30 minutes if applicable.

Test termination was considered to be when the flame front self extinguished or at 30 minutes, which ever is the sooner.

The heat flux from the panel incident on the specimen when self extinguished or at 30 minutes (critical heat flux CHF or HF-30) was calculated from a prior calibration.







Telephone: +44 (0) 113 259 1999 Email: onestopshop@bttg.co.uk

Website: www.bttg.co.uk

24 May 2022 Date:

Our Ref: 26/03003A2/05/22 Your Ref:

> Page: 3 of 4

Client: Polyflor Limited

Results

The test results relate to the behaviour of the test specimens of a material under the particular conditions of test; they are not intended to be the sole criterion for assessing the full potential fire hazard of the materials in use.

Specimen No.	<u>Direction</u> of spec.		scuration/ pment	Maximum Flame front	<u>Heat Flux-30</u> (HF-30)	<u>Critical</u> <u>Heat/Radiant</u>	<u>Duration of</u> <u>Flaming (sec)</u>
		<u>Max %</u>	% x min	<u>(mm)</u>	<u>(kW/m²)</u>	Flux	
						(CHF/CRF) (kW/m²)	
						<u>(KVV/III)</u>	
1	Non directional	100	388	300	7.0	7.0	720
2	Non directional	100	377	281	7.3	7.3	720
3	Non directional	100	395	300	7.0	7.0	720
Mean of 3 specs.	Non directional	100	387	294	7.1	7.1	720

<u>Distance</u>	<u>Time</u>	for each specimen to bu	<u>ırn (s)</u>
Burnt (mm)	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
50	150	160	150
100	180	193	180
150	200	203	200
200	200	220	210
250	230	220	210
300	255		270

Observations

Flashing occurs on all specimens.







Telephone: +44 (0) 113 259 1999 Email: onestopshop@bttg.co.uk

Website: www.bttg.co.uk

Date: 24 May 2022

Our Ref: 26/03003A2/05/22 Your Ref:

Page: 4 of 4

Polyflor Limited Client:

Note

One specimen was initially tested in each direction and whichever direction gave the worst result a further two specimens were tested. Only the results of the 3 specimens in the same direction were used to calculate the mean results.

An estimation of uncertainty of measurement has been taken into account when making a judgment to any pass/fail criteria. Under our Policy we have used a non-binary decision rule.

See our Decision rules Policy (http://www.bttg.co.uk/decision-rules-policy) for further information.

...... B Marsden (Mrs), Senior laboratory Technician

...... A Shute, Senior Laboratory Technician Countersigned by.....

Enquiries concerning this report should be addressed to Customer Services.



